

**PRACTICE CONTACTS**

- Shannon M. O'Malley

Since eDiscovery exploded in the mid-2000s, Zelle has been at the forefront in meeting the needs of its clients to comply with eDiscovery standards. Zelle designed, created, and uses knowledgeable in-house eDiscovery experts and tools, including an in-house Legal Technology support team. Zelle's eDiscovery group is designed to provide our clients with the highest quality services and state-of-the-art technology.

Zelle's eDiscovery practice (1) understands the law and requirements of eDiscovery so that we can make informed decisions with our clients about the best and most efficient way to navigate complex and often voluminous eDiscovery requirements; (2) works to control the eDiscovery process up front, working with counsel and the parties to set reasonable limits on scope and form of production in place from the outset; and (3) employs best-of-class technology tools and solutions for document review and production.

One of the best benefits of its eDiscovery practice is the ability to host large volumes of documents in-house. By controlling the processing and storage of documents in a case, Zelle's lawyers have easy and almost immediate access to documents and professionals whose job is to make the process seamless and streamlined. Zelle competitively prices its document processing and storage and offers choices to clients to meet their eDiscovery duties in a cost-effective matter.

Our Commitment  
Legal Technology Team  
Services  
Technology

**REPRESENTATIVE MATTERS**

*In Re Static Random Access Memory (SRAM) Antitrust Litigation.*

Zelle lawyers successfully defended one of the country's largest banks in multiple lawsuits brought by current and former participants in the bank's securities lending program. In those cases, Zelle provided comprehensive litigation support and technology services over an eight-year period. The litigation database contained over 12.3 million pages and supported 70 users accessing the documents from multiple parties including co-counsel and

experts.

*Motiva Enterprises.*

This matter involved a refinery insurance coverage dispute and a nine figure claim involving documents from nine insurance companies and over 50 third parties. This case involved 200,000 documents and files, including emails and attachments, .jpps and complex engineering drawings.

*Northrop Grumman Corporation v. Factory Mutual Insurance Company.*

This matter involved a \$1.2 billion insurance coverage dispute arising from Hurricane Katrina. Zelle hosted the documents produced in this case from 2009-2015, with seven parties (law firms and experts) and a total of 85 users accessing the system. This case involved a million documents and 7.4 million pages, including emails and attachments, and multiple engineering file types produced by several third parties and Northrop Grumman.

*Securities Lending Litigation.*

Zelle lawyers successfully defended one of the country's largest banks in multiple lawsuits brought by current and former participants in the bank's securities lending program. In those cases, Zelle provided comprehensive litigation support and technology services over an eight-year period. The litigation database contained over 12.3 million pages and supported 70 users accessing the documents from multiple parties including co-counsel and experts.